



## Overview of Recent Research on Novel Materials for Sensor Applications

Nguyen Thanh Tung

Institute of Green and Sustainable Technology, Thu Dau Mot University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0924-2746>

**ABSTRACT:** Recent advances in nanotechnology, artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and smart electronics have significantly increased the demand for high-performance sensors with high sensitivity, fast response, low power consumption, and miniaturized dimensions. This review presents an overview of recent research progress on novel materials for sensing applications, focusing on their structural characteristics, sensing mechanisms, and potential applications in gas sensors, biosensors, optical sensors, and wearable electronics. Various advanced nanomaterials, including Graphene, Carbon Nanotubes, Silicene, Germanene, Stanene, Transition Metal Dichalcogenides, MXenes, metal-oxide nanomaterials, Perovskite materials, conducting polymers, and plasmonic nanostructures are discussed in detail. These materials exhibit remarkable sensing performance because of their large specific surface area, tunable electronic properties, strong adsorption capability, and excellent charge-transfer efficiency. In particular, low-dimensional materials have demonstrated outstanding sensitivity toward toxic gases, biomolecules, and optical signals, even at room temperature. The review also highlights the important role of Density Functional Theory (DFT) in predicting adsorption mechanisms, electronic structures, and sensing behavior before experimental fabrication. In addition, emerging trends such as nano-heterostructures, flexible and wearable sensors, self-powered devices, and AI-integrated sensing systems are summarized. Furthermore, recent developments in hybrid nanocomposites and multifunctional sensing platforms have opened new opportunities for next-generation healthcare monitoring, environmental protection, industrial safety, and smart-city technologies. The integration of machine learning techniques with sensor systems has also improved signal processing, real-time detection, and data analysis accuracy. Finally, current challenges and future perspectives toward sustainable, intelligent, low-cost, and high-performance sensor technologies are discussed, emphasizing the importance of interdisciplinary research in advancing practical sensing applications for future electronic and biomedical systems.

**KEYWORDS:** MXenes; gas sensors; biosensors; smart sensors.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), Industry 4.0, biomedicine, and smart electronics have significantly increased the demand for highly sensitive and low-power sensors. Modern sensors are widely applied in environmental monitoring, healthcare, smart agriculture, and intelligent transportation systems [1–3]. Gas sensors are used for detecting toxic gases such as NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, CO, and VOCs, while biosensors enable sensitive detection of biomolecules and viruses [4].

Sensor performance strongly depends on material properties including electronic structure, carrier mobility, surface area, and adsorption capability [5]. Conventional materials such as silicon, metal oxides, and polymers often suffer from limited sensitivity and high operating temperatures [6,7]. Therefore, low-dimensional nanomaterials have attracted considerable attention for next-generation sensing applications. Graphene and other two-dimensional materials, including silicene, germanene, stanene, MoS<sub>2</sub>, and MXenes, exhibit excellent sensing performance because of their tunable electronic properties and large surface areas [8]. Graphene can detect individual gas molecules through conductivity changes [9], while MXenes and TMDs such as MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> demonstrate high

*Cite the Article:* Tung, N.T. (2026). Overview of Recent Research on Novel Materials for Sensor Applications. *Current Science Research Bulletin*, 3(5), 93-101. <https://doi.org/10.55677/csrb/02-V03I05Y2026>

*Publication Date:* May 22, 2026

sensitivity and stable room-temperature operation [10,11]. In addition, plasmonic nanoparticles, perovskites, and nanocomposites have been extensively investigated for optical and biosensing applications [12]. Alongside experimental studies, Density Functional Theory (DFT) has become an important computational approach for predicting adsorption behavior, charge transfer, and electronic properties of sensing materials, thereby accelerating the development of high-performance sensors [13].

## **2. CARBON NANOMATERIALS IN SENSORS**

Carbon nanomaterials are among the earliest and most extensively studied materials in sensor technology, including Graphene, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), fullerene, and graphene oxide.

### **2.1. Graphene**

Graphene is one of the most extensively studied nanomaterials for sensing applications because of its excellent electrical conductivity, high carrier mobility, and large surface area [14,15]. Since all carbon atoms are exposed on the surface, adsorption of gas molecules or biomolecules can strongly modify its electrical properties, leading to high sensing sensitivity. Graphene-based sensors can detect gases such as NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and CO through charge-transfer-induced conductivity changes and can operate efficiently at room temperature with low power consumption [16,17]. In addition, graphene has shown strong potential in biosensing because biomolecules such as DNA and proteins can be immobilized on its surface [18]. However, pristine graphene suffers from zero band gap and limited selectivity [19]. Therefore, doping, defect engineering, and hybrid structures such as graphene/SnO<sub>2</sub>, graphene/ZnO, and graphene/PANI are widely used to improve sensing performance, flexibility, and suitability for wearable devices [20,21].

### **2.2. Carbon Nanotubes**

Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs), including SWCNTs and MWCNTs, are promising sensing materials because of their high electrical conductivity, large surface area, and excellent mechanical properties [22–24]. Their sensing mechanism mainly relies on charge transfer between adsorbed molecules and the CNT surface, enabling sensitive detection of gases such as NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and H<sub>2</sub> [25,26]. CNT-based sensors can operate at room temperature with fast response and low power consumption, making them suitable for flexible and wearable devices [27]. CNTs are also widely used in biosensing because of their efficient electron transfer and easy surface functionalization, with applications in glucose, DNA, and immunosensors [28,29]. To improve sensitivity and selectivity, CNTs are often combined with metal nanoparticles, metal oxides, and conducting polymers such as CNTs/SnO<sub>2</sub> and CNTs/PANI [30,31]. Despite fabrication challenges, CNTs remain highly promising for next-generation sensing technologies.

## **3. TWO-DIMENSIONAL (2D) MATERIALS**

Following the success of Graphene, many other two-dimensional materials have been intensively investigated for sensing applications.

### **3.1. Silicene, Germanene, and Stanene**

After the discovery of graphene, silicene, germanene, and stanene have attracted considerable interest for sensing applications because of their tunable electronic properties, buckled structures, and strong chemical activity [32,33]. These materials exhibit high sensitivity toward gases such as NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>S through charge-transfer interactions that modify their electronic structures and conductivity [34–40]. Among them, stanene nanoribbons are particularly promising because of their strong spin–orbit coupling, tunable band gap, and high adsorption capability [38–40]. Transition-metal doping (Fe, Co, Ni) and halogen functionalization (F, Cl, Br) are widely used to improve adsorption strength, charge transfer, and gas selectivity [41–43]. DFT studies have shown that doped systems generally exhibit stronger adsorption energies and more stable sensing performance than pristine materials [44]. Therefore, silicene, germanene, and stanene are considered promising materials for next-generation nanosensors.

### **3.2. Transition Metal Dichalcogenides (TMDs)**

Transition Metal Dichalcogenides (TMDs) such as MoS<sub>2</sub>, WS<sub>2</sub>, MoSe<sub>2</sub>, and WSe<sub>2</sub> are promising sensing materials because of their layered two-dimensional structures and intrinsic semiconducting band gaps of 1–2 eV [45,46]. Among them, MoS<sub>2</sub> is the most extensively studied due to its excellent chemical stability and room-temperature sensing capability. Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> possesses a direct band gap of about 1.8 eV, enabling high sensitivity and efficient current modulation [47]. Its large surface area and Mo d orbitals promote strong interactions with gas molecules through charge transfer [48,49]. MoS<sub>2</sub>-based sensors exhibit high sensitivity toward gases such as NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>S [50]. In particular, NO<sub>2</sub> strongly modifies conductivity because it acts as an electron acceptor [51]. Defect engineering and transition-metal doping can further enhance sensing performance [52]. MoS<sub>2</sub> is also widely used in biosensors for glucose, protein, and DNA detection because of its good biocompatibility and efficient electron transfer [53]. Other TMDs such as WS<sub>2</sub> and MoSe<sub>2</sub> also demonstrate promising sensing properties [54]. Furthermore, heterostructures combining TMDs

with graphene, CNTs, or MXenes can improve charge transfer and sensing efficiency, making TMDs attractive candidates for next-generation nanosensors.

#### **4. MXene MATERIALS**

MXenes are a new family of two-dimensional materials with the general formula  $M_{n+1}X_nT_x$ , distinguished by their high electrical conductivity, abundant surface functional groups ( $-O$ ,  $-OH$ ,  $-F$ ), excellent hydrophilicity, and strong adsorption capability. These characteristics make MXenes highly promising for gas sensors, biosensors, and flexible sensing devices. Among them,  $Ti_3C_2T_x$  is the most extensively studied because of its excellent conductivity and strong interaction with gas molecules such as  $NH_3$ , ethanol, and acetone. Previous studies have shown that  $Ti_3C_2T_x$ -based sensors can operate effectively at room temperature with high sensitivity and rapid response [55–56]. In addition, MXenes exhibit excellent mechanical flexibility, making them suitable for wearable sensors and flexible electronic devices [57]. Current research trends focus on fabricating MXene composites with Graphene or conducting polymers such as PANI and PEDOT:PSS to improve stability, charge-transfer efficiency, and sensing selectivity [58]. However, MXenes still face challenges related to oxidation and conductivity degradation in ambient environments.

Therefore, surface functionalization and hybrid-structure engineering are being intensively explored to improve their long-term stability.

#### **5. METAL OXIDE NANOMATERIALS**

Semiconducting metal oxides such as ZnO, SnO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, WO<sub>3</sub>, and In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> remain important materials in sensor technology because of their low cost, high stability, and good sensing performance. ZnO is widely studied for gas sensing owing to its wide band gap (~3.37 eV), high chemical stability, and diverse nanostructures including nanowires, nanorods, and nanosheets [59]. Its sensing mechanism is mainly based on resistance changes caused by oxygen adsorption and interactions with gas molecules [60,61]. ZnO exhibits high sensitivity toward gases such as ethanol, H<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>2</sub>, while one-dimensional nanostructures provide enhanced electron transport and sensing performance [62]. In addition, doping and hybridization with graphene, CNTs, and conducting polymers can improve selectivity and reduce operating temperature. SnO<sub>2</sub> is another widely used metal oxide in commercial gas sensors because of its high sensitivity toward gases such as CO, H<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, and ethanol [63]. However, pristine SnO<sub>2</sub> sensors often require high operating temperatures, resulting in high power consumption [64]. To improve performance, transition-metal doping and heterostructure engineering with ZnO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and MoS<sub>2</sub> have been widely explored [65,66]. Furthermore, combining SnO<sub>2</sub> with graphene or CNTs can enhance charge transport, increase surface area, and enable lower-temperature sensing operation [67].

#### **6. PEROVSKITE MATERIALS**

Perovskite materials have attracted significant attention in optoelectronic and sensing applications because of their excellent optical and electronic properties. Organic–inorganic halide perovskites generally possess the formula ABX<sub>3</sub>, where A is an organic or inorganic cation, B is a metal such as Pb or Sn, and X is a halogen atom [68]. Their tunable band gaps, high carrier mobility, and strong light absorption make them promising for photodetectors, UV sensors, and optical sensing devices [69–71]. Perovskites also show strong potential in biosensing and humidity sensing because of their efficient photoelectric conversion and sensitivity to water-vapor adsorption [72,73]. However, poor environmental stability under moisture, oxygen, heat, and strong illumination remains a major challenge [74]. Therefore, current research focuses on improving stability through doping, encapsulation, hybrid structures, and lead-free perovskite systems.

#### **7. CONDUCTING POLYMER MATERIALS**

Conducting polymers such as Polyaniline (PANI), Polypyrrole (PPy), and PEDOT:PSS are promising sensing materials because they combine electrical conductivity with excellent mechanical flexibility and room-temperature operation [75]. They can also be synthesized through simple and low-cost methods [76]. Among them, PANI is the most widely studied due to its good stability and high conductivity after proton doping [77]. PANI exhibits strong sensitivity toward NH<sub>3</sub> through a deprotonation mechanism that reduces conductivity during gas adsorption [78]. Conducting polymers are also widely used in biosensors because of their efficient electron transfer and easy functionalization with biomolecules [79]. To overcome limitations in conductivity and selectivity, conducting polymers are often combined with graphene or Carbon Nanotubes [80]. Composites such as PANI/graphene and PANI/CNTs show enhanced sensitivity toward NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, and VOCs, together with faster response and improved recovery behavior [81].

## **8. PLASMONIC MATERIALS AND OPTICAL SENSORS**

Noble metal nanoparticles such as Au and Ag are widely used in plasmonic sensing because they support Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR), which enhances local electromagnetic fields and enables highly sensitive optical detection [82,83]. Ag nanoparticles provide stronger plasmon intensity, while Au nanoparticles offer better chemical stability and biocompatibility for biomedical applications [84]. SPR sensors are extensively applied in biosensing and medical diagnostics for detecting proteins, DNA, viruses, and antigen-antibody interactions with very high sensitivity [85]. They have also been used for rapid detection of influenza, HIV, and SARS-CoV-2, as well as real-time DNA hybridization monitoring [86,87]. Recently, hybrid plasmonic systems combined with graphene, MoS<sub>2</sub>, and MXenes have attracted strong interest because they enhance adsorption and optical signals [88]. These systems can also generate surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS), enabling ultralow-concentration molecular detection [89]. Therefore, plasmonic sensors are considered highly promising for future optical and biosensing technologies.

## **9. ROLE OF MATERIAL SIMULATION IN SENSOR RESEARCH**

Material simulation methods play an important role in designing and optimizing nanosensors, especially for low-dimensional materials such as graphene, TMDs, MXenes, and nanoribbons. Among them, Density Functional Theory (DFT) is one of the most widely used computational approaches because it can accurately describe electronic structures and atomic interactions with reasonable computational cost [90]. DFT is widely applied to study interactions between sensing materials and adsorbed molecules through structural optimization, enabling determination of stable adsorption sites, bond lengths, and lattice reconstruction after adsorption [91]. These analyses are essential for evaluating sensing performance in gas and biosensor applications.

DFT is also widely used to calculate adsorption energies, which characterize the interaction strength between gas molecules and sensing materials.

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{total}} - E_{\text{material}} - E_{\text{gas}}$$

where  $E_{\text{total}}$  is the total energy of the adsorbed system,  $E_{\text{material}}$  the energy of the pristine material, and  $E_{\text{gas}}$  is the energy of the isolated gas molecule. Adsorption energy values help distinguish physisorption from chemisorption and predict sensor recovery behavior [92]. Moderate adsorption energies are generally ideal for gas sensing because they balance sensitivity and sensor recovery. DFT can analyze electronic band structures, where changes in band gap or electronic states near the Fermi level are closely related to sensing signals [93]. In materials such as silicene, stanene, and MoS<sub>2</sub>, gas adsorption can strongly modify conductivity or even induce semiconductor-to-metal transitions. Density of states (DOS) and partial density of states (PDOS) analyses are widely used to study orbital hybridization and charge-transfer mechanisms [94]. For transition-metal-doped systems, spin-polarized DFT calculations can also predict magnetic properties and spin polarization changes caused by gas adsorption [95]. Several DFT packages are commonly used in sensor research, including VASP, Quantum ESPRESSO, and NanoDCAL [96–98]. Recently, combining DFT with AI and machine learning has become an important trend for rapidly predicting adsorption energies, electronic structures, and material stability, thereby reducing computational cost and accelerating material discovery [99].

## **10. FUTURE DEVELOPMENT TRENDS**

Future research on sensing materials will focus on miniaturization, higher sensitivity, low power consumption, and multifunctional integration. Emerging two-dimensional materials such as MXenes, phosphorene, borophene, silicene, germanene, stanene, and Janus 2D materials are attracting strong interest because of their tunable electronic properties and high surface activity [100]. Nano-heterostructures including graphene/MoS<sub>2</sub>, MXene/ZnO, and CNTs/SnO<sub>2</sub> can enhance charge transfer, sensitivity, selectivity, and device stability [101]. In addition, flexible and wearable sensors based on conducting polymers, graphene, MXenes, and nanowires are being developed for real-time healthcare and biomedical monitoring [102]. Self-powered sensors based on piezoelectric, triboelectric, and thermoelectric effects are also promising, with materials such as ZnO nanowires, MoS<sub>2</sub>, and perovskites showing strong potential [103]. Meanwhile, integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) is enabling intelligent sensing systems capable of real-time data processing and large-scale monitoring applications [104]. Sustainable and environmentally friendly sensor materials are also gaining attention, with efforts to reduce toxic elements and develop recyclable or biodegradable materials [105]. In addition, combining experimental studies with Density Functional Theory (DFT) and machine learning can accelerate the discovery of high-performance sensing materials by rapidly predicting adsorption behavior and electronic properties [106]. Overall, the convergence of nanotechnology, AI, and advanced simulations is expected to drive the development of ultrahigh-performance and intelligent sensing systems in the future.

## 11. CONCLUSION

Research on novel sensing materials has become an important field in modern materials science. Nanomaterials such as graphene, MXenes, TMDs, stanene, perovskites, and conducting polymers have shown strong potential for improving sensor sensitivity, selectivity, and room-temperature operation because of their large surface areas and tunable electronic properties. Density Functional Theory (DFT) also plays a key role in predicting adsorption mechanisms and electronic-structure changes, helping accelerate material discovery while reducing experimental cost and development time. In the future, combining nanomaterials with Artificial Intelligence (AI), machine learning, and IoT technologies is expected to enable intelligent, low-power, flexible, and ultraminiaturized sensing systems. Environmentally friendly materials and self-powered sensors will also become increasingly important for sustainable technological development.

## REFERENCES

1. Nguyen, D. C., Ding, M., Pathirana, P. N., & Seneviratne, A. (2021). Blockchain and AI-based solutions to combat coronavirus (COVID-19)-like epidemics: A survey. *IEEE Access*, 9, 95730–95753. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3093633>
2. Lee, J., Bagheri, B., & Kao, H.-A. (2015). A cyber-physical systems architecture for Industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems. *Manufacturing Letters*, 3, 18–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2014.12.001>
3. Atzori, L., Iera, A., & Morabito, G. (2010). The Internet of Things: A survey. *Computer Networks*, 54, 2787–2805. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2010.05.010>
4. Turner, A. P. F. (2013). Biosensors: Sense and sensibility. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 42, 3184–3196. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CS35528D>
5. Yamazoe, N. (2005). Toward innovations of gas sensor technology. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 108, 2–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2004.12.075>
6. Korotcenkov, G. (2007). Metal oxides for solid-state gas sensors: What determines our choice? *Materials Science and Engineering B*, 139, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2007.01.044>
7. Wang, C., Yin, L., Zhang, L., Xiang, D., & Gao, R. (2010). Metal oxide gas sensors: Sensitivity and influencing factors. *Sensors*, 10, 2088–2106. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s100302088>
8. Novoselov, K. S., et al. (2004). Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science*, 306, 666–669. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102896>
9. Schedin, F., et al. (2007). Detection of individual gas molecules adsorbed on graphene. *Nature Materials*, 6, 652–655. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1967>
10. Naguib, M., et al. (2011). Two-dimensional nanocrystals produced by exfoliation of  $\text{Ti}_3\text{AlC}_2$ . *Advanced Materials*, 23, 4248–4253. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201102306>
11. Radisavljevic, B., et al. (2011). Single-layer  $\text{MoS}_2$  transistors. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 6, 147–150. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2010.279>
12. Homola, J., Yee, S. S., & Gauglitz, G. (1999). Surface plasmon resonance sensors: Review. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 54, 3–15. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005\(98\)00321-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(98)00321-9)
13. Kohn, W., & Sham, L. J. (1965). Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects. *Physical Review*, 140, A1133–A1138. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133>
14. Novoselov, K. S., et al. (2004). Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science*, 306, 666–669. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102896>
15. Geim, A. K., & Novoselov, K. S. (2007). The rise of graphene. *Nature Materials*, 6, 183–191. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1849>
16. Schedin, F., et al. (2007). Detection of individual gas molecules adsorbed on graphene. *Nature Materials*, 6, 652–655. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1967>
17. Korotcenkov, G. (2007). Metal oxides for solid-state gas sensors: What determines our choice? *Materials Science and Engineering B*, 139, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2007.01.044>
18. Shao, Y., et al. (2010). Graphene based electrochemical sensors and biosensors: A review. *Electroanalysis*, 22, 1027–1036. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.200900571>
19. Schwierz, F. (2010). Graphene transistors. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 5, 487–496. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2010.89>
20. Wei, D., et al. (2009). Synthesis of N-doped graphene by chemical vapor deposition and its electrical properties. *Nano Letters*, 9, 1752–1758. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl803279t>

21. Wang, C., Yin, L., Zhang, L., Xiang, D., & Gao, R. (2010). Metal oxide gas sensors: Sensitivity and influencing factors. *Sensors*, *10*, 2088–2106. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s100302088>
22. Iijima, S. (1991). Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. *Nature*, *354*, 56–58. <https://doi.org/10.1038/354056a0>
23. Saito, R., Dresselhaus, G., & Dresselhaus, M. S. (1998). *Physical properties of carbon nanotubes*. Imperial College Press. <https://doi.org/10.1142/9781848162572>
24. Treacy, M. M. J., Ebbesen, T. W., & Gibson, J. M. (1996). Exceptionally high Young's modulus observed for individual carbon nanotubes. *Nature*, *381*, 678–680. <https://doi.org/10.1038/381678a0>
25. Collins, P. G., et al. (2000). Extreme oxygen sensitivity of electronic properties of carbon nanotubes. *Science*, *287*, 1801–1804. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5459.1801>
26. Kong, J., et al. (2000). Nanotube molecular wires as chemical sensors. *Science*, *287*, 622–625. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5453.622>
27. Snow, E. S., et al. (2005). Chemical detection with a single-walled carbon nanotube capacitor. *Science*, *307*, 1942–1945. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1109128>
28. Wang, J. (2005). Carbon-nanotube based electrochemical biosensors: A review. *Electroanalysis*, *17*, 7–14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.200403113>
29. Liu, Y., et al. (2008). Carbon nanotube based biosensors. *Sensors*, *8*, 398–418. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s8010398>
30. Zhang, C., et al. (2013). Hydrogen sensors based on palladium decorated carbon nanotubes. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *181*, 395–401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2013.01.080>
31. Wang, C., Yin, L., Zhang, L., Xiang, D., & Gao, R. (2010). Metal oxide gas sensors: Sensitivity and influencing factors. *Sensors*, *10*, 2088–2106. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s100302088>
32. Cahangirov, S., Topsakal, M., Aktürk, E., Şahin, H., & Ciraci, S. (2009). Two- and one- dimensional honeycomb structures of silicon and germanium. *Physical Review Letters*, *102*, 236804. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.236804>
33. Kara, A., et al. (2012). A review on silicene—New candidate for electronics. *Surface Science Reports*, *67*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfrep.2011.10.001>
34. Guo, Z. X., Furuya, S., Iwata, J., & Oshiyama, A. (2013). Absorption of gas molecules on silicene and germanene. *Physical Review B*, *87*, 235435. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.235435>
35. Ding, Y., & Wang, Y. (2014). Electronic structures of silicene gas sensor. *Applied Surface Science*, *307*, 477–482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2014.04.066>
36. Dávila, M. E., Xian, L., Cahangirov, S., Rubio, A., & Le Lay, G. (2014). Germanene: A novel two-dimensional germanium allotrope akin to graphene and silicene. *New Journal of Physics*, *16*, 095002. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/9/095002>
37. Hussain, T., De Sarkar, A., & Ahuja, R. (2015). Strain tuned gas sensing properties of germanene. *Applied Physics Letters*, *107*, 253103. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4938125>
38. Xu, Y., et al. (2013). Large-gap quantum spin Hall insulators in tin films. *Physical Review Letters*, *111*, 136804. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.136804>
39. Wu, F., Yu, W., & Song, Q. (2017). Adsorption of gas molecules on stanene: A first-principles study. *Superlattices and Microstructures*, *112*, 235–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2017.09.033>
40. Ezawa, M. (2012). Topological origin of quasi-flat edge bands in silicene nanoribbons. *New Journal of Physics*, *14*, 033003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/3/033003>
41. Şahin, H., & Peeters, F. M. (2013). Adsorption of alkali, alkaline-earth, and 3d transition metal atoms on silicene. *Physical Review B*, *87*, 085423. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.085423>
42. Shukla, A., & Bhamu, R. (2019). Electronic and magnetic properties of Fe-doped stanene nanoribbons: A DFT study. *Physica E*, *108*, 160–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physe.2018.12.019>
43. Lin, X., Yang, W., Liao, K., & Yang, J. (2016). Tunable electronic and magnetic properties of halogenated stanene. *RSC Advances*, *6*, 41212–41218. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RA04966K>
44. Hussain, T., Chakraborty, S., & Ahuja, R. (2020). Gas sensing with 2D materials: A DFT perspective. *Applied Materials Today*, *20*, 100674. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2020.100674>
45. Wang, Q. H., Kalantar-Zadeh, K., Kis, A., Coleman, J. N., & Strano, M. S. (2012). Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nature Nanotechnology*, *7*, 699–712. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2012.193>
46. Mak, K. F., Lee, C., Hone, J., Shan, J., & Heinz, T. F. (2010). Atomically thin MoS<sub>2</sub>: A new direct-gap semiconductor. *Physical Review Letters*, *105*, 136805. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.136805>

47. Splendiani, A., et al. (2010). Emerging photoluminescence in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. *Nano Letters*, 10, 1271–1275. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl903868w>
48. Jariwala, D., Sangwan, V. K., Lauhon, L. J., Marks, T. J., & Hersam, M. C. (2014). Emerging device applications for semiconducting two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *ACS Nano*, 8, 1102–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nn500064s>
49. Radisavljevic, B., et al. (2011). Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> transistors. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 6, 147–150. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2010.279>
50. Li, H., et al. (2012). Fabrication of single- and multilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> film-based field-effect transistors for sensing NO at room temperature. *Small*, 8, 63–67. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201101016>
51. Late, D. J., et al. (2012). Hysteresis in single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> field effect transistors. *ACS Nano*, 6, 5635–5641. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nn301572c>
52. Pham, T., Li, G., Bekyarova, E., Itkis, M. E., & Mulchandani, A. (2019). MoS<sub>2</sub>-based optoelectronic gas sensor with sub-parts-per-billion limit of NO<sub>2</sub> gas detection. *ACS Nano*, 13, 3196–3205. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b08199>
53. Yao, Y., et al. (2020). MoS<sub>2</sub> based nanomaterials for biosensors. *Materials Today Chemistry*, 16, 100259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2020.100259>
54. Chhowalla, M., et al. (2013). The chemistry of two-dimensional layered transition metal dichalcogenide nanosheets. *Nature Chemistry*, 5, 263–275. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1589>
55. Naguib, M., et al. (2011). Two-dimensional nanocrystals produced by exfoliation of Ti<sub>3</sub>AlC<sub>2</sub>. *Advanced Materials*, 23, 4248–4253. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201102306>
56. Lee, J., et al. (2017). Titanium carbide MXene gas sensors for room-temperature NH<sub>3</sub> detection. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 9, 37184–37190. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b11055>
57. Ma, Y., et al. (2020). Flexible MXene-based pressure sensors. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 30, 2003015. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202003015>
58. Xu, Y., et al. (2020). MXene/graphene hybrid materials for advanced sensing applications. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 30, 1907377. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201907377>
59. Özgür, Ü., et al. (2005). A comprehensive review of ZnO materials and devices. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 98, 041301. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1992666>
60. Yamazoe, N., & Shimanoe, K. (2008). Theory of power laws for semiconductor gas sensors. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 128, 566–573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2007.07.036>
61. Wang, Z. L. (2004). Zinc oxide nanostructures: Growth, properties and applications. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 16, R829–R858. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/16/25/R01>
62. Comini, E., et al. (2010). Metal oxide nanowire chemical sensors: Innovation and quality of life. *Materials Today*, 13, 36–44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021\(10\)70158-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(10)70158-7)
63. Korotcenkov, G. (2007). Metal oxides for solid-state gas sensors: What determines our choice? *Materials Science and Engineering B*, 139, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2007.01.044>
64. Yamazoe, N. (2005). Toward innovations of gas sensor technology. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 108, 2–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2004.12.075>
65. Comini, E., Faglia, G., & Sberveglieri, G. (2002). Stable and highly sensitive gas sensors based on semiconducting oxide nanobelts. *Applied Physics Letters*, 81, 1869–1871. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1504867>
66. Wang, C., Yin, L., Zhang, L., Xiang, D., & Gao, R. (2010). Metal oxide gas sensors: Sensitivity and influencing factors. *Sensors*, 10, 2088–2106. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s100302088>
67. Kauffman, D. R., & Star, A. (2008). Carbon nanotube gas and vapor sensors. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 47, 6550–6570. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200704488>
68. Green, M. A., Ho-Baillie, A., & Snaith, H. J. (2014). The emergence of perovskite solar cells. *Nature Photonics*, 8, 506–514. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.134>
69. Kim, H. S., et al. (2012). Lead iodide perovskite sensitized all-solid-state submicron thin film mesoscopic solar cell. *Scientific Reports*, 2, 591. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00591>
70. Stranks, S. D., et al. (2013). Electron-hole diffusion lengths exceeding 1 micrometer in an organometal trihalide perovskite absorber. *Science*, 342, 341–344. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1243982>

71. Fang, Y., Dong, Q., Shao, Y., Yuan, Y., & Huang, J. (2015). Highly narrowband perovskite single-crystal photodetectors enabled by surface-charge recombination. *Nature Photonics*, 9, 679–686. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2015.156>
72. Lin, Q., et al. (2018). Perovskite-based biosensors. *Advanced Materials*, 30, 1705176. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201705176>
73. Yuan, Z., et al. (2019). Perovskite humidity sensors with high sensitivity and fast response. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 296, 126660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2019.126660>
74. Park, N.-G. (2015). Perovskite solar cells: An emerging photovoltaic technology. *Materials Today*, 18, 65–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2014.07.007>
75. Skotheim, T. A., & Reynolds, J. R. (2007). *Handbook of conducting polymers*. CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420046177>
76. Janata, J., & Josowicz, M. (2003). Conducting polymers in electronic chemical sensors. *Nature Materials*, 2, 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat768>
77. MacDiarmid, A. G. (2001). “Synthetic metals”: A novel role for organic polymers. *Synthetic Metals*, 125, 11–22. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-6779\(01\)00508-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-6779(01)00508-0)
78. Virji, S., et al. (2004). Polyaniline nanofiber gas sensors: Examination of response mechanisms. *Nano Letters*, 4, 491–496. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl035122e>
79. Gangopadhyay, R., & De, A. (2000). Conducting polymer nanocomposites: A brief overview. *Chemistry of Materials*, 12, 608–622. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cm990537m>
80. Dan, Y., Lu, Y., Kybert, N. J., Luo, Z., & Johnson, A. T. C. (2009). Intrinsic response of graphene vapor sensors. *Nano Letters*, 9, 1472–1475. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl8033637>
81. Kauffman, D. R., & Star, A. (2008). Carbon nanotube gas and vapor sensors. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 47, 6550–6570. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200704488>
82. Raether, H. (1988). *Surface plasmons on smooth and rough surfaces and on gratings*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0048317>
83. Homola, J., Yee, S. S., & Gauglitz, G. (1999). Surface plasmon resonance sensors: Review. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 54, 3–15. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005\(98\)00321-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(98)00321-9)
84. Maier, S. A. (2007). *Plasmonics: Fundamentals and applications*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-37825-1>
85. Homola, J. (2003). Present and future of surface plasmon resonance biosensors. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 377, 528–539. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-003-2101-0>
86. Ahmadivand, A., et al. (2021). Rapid detection of infectious viruses using surface plasmon resonance. *ACS Sensors*, 6, 423–433. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.0c01922>
87. Mayer, K. M., & Hafner, J. H. (2011). Localized surface plasmon resonance sensors. *Chemical Reviews*, 111, 3828–3857. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr100313v>
88. Bonaccorso, F., et al. (2010). Graphene photonics and optoelectronics. *Nature Photonics*, 4, 611–622. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2010.186>
89. Kneipp, K., et al. (1997). Single molecule detection using surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS). *Physical Review Letters*, 78, 1667–1670. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1667>
90. Kohn, W., & Sham, L. J. (1965). Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects. *Physical Review*, 140, A1133–A1138. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133>
91. Martin, R. M. (2004). *Electronic structure: Basic theory and practical methods*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511805761>
92. Hussain, T., Chakraborty, S., & Ahuja, R. (2020). Gas sensing with 2D materials: A DFT perspective. *Applied Materials Today*, 20, 100674. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2020.100674>
93. Singh, D. J., & Nordström, L. (2006). *Planewaves, pseudopotentials and the LAPW method*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-29684-8>
94. Hohenberg, P., & Kohn, W. (1964). Inhomogeneous electron gas. *Physical Review*, 136, B864–B871. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.136.B864>
95. Kübler, J. (2000). *Theory of itinerant electron magnetism*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198508023.001.0001>

96. Kresse, G., & Furthmüller, J. (1996). Efficient iterative schemes for *ab initio* total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Physical Review B*, 54, 11169–11186. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169>
97. Giannozzi, P., et al. (2009). QUANTUM ESPRESSO: A modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 21, 395502. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502>
98. Taylor, J., Guo, H., & Wang, J. (2001). *Ab initio* modeling of quantum transport properties of molecular electronic devices. *Physical Review B*, 63, 245407. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.245407>
99. Butler, K. T., et al. (2018). Machine learning for molecular and materials science. *Nature*, 559, 547–555. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0337-2>
100. Geim, A. K., & Grigorieva, I. V. (2013). Van der Waals heterostructures. *Nature*, 499, 419–425. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12385>
101. Wang, Q. H., et al. (2012). Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 7, 699–712. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2012.193>
102. Kim, D.-H., et al. (2011). Epidermal electronics. *Science*, 333, 838–843. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1206157>
103. Wang, Z. L. (2012). Self-powered nanosensors and nanosystems. *Advanced Materials*, 24, 280–285. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201104365>
104. Butler, K. T., et al. (2018). Machine learning for molecular and materials science. *Nature*, 559, 547–555. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0337-2>
105. McKone, J. R., Lewis, N. S., & Gray, H. B. (2014). Will solar-driven water-splitting devices see the light of day? *Chemistry of Materials*, 26, 407–414. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cm4021518>
106. Kohn, W., & Sham, L. J. (1965). Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects. *Physical Review*, 140, A1133–A1138. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133>