Current Science Research Bulletin
Volume 03 (05), May 2026, pp. 102-107
CrossRef DOI: 10.55677/csrb/03-V03I05Y2026
Available on: https://csrbjournal.org/
e-ISSN: 3050-7103
p-ISSN: 3050-709X

Applications of Density Functional Theory in Modern Materials Research

Nguyen Thanh Tung
Institute of Green and Sustainable Technology, Thu Dau Mot University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0924-2746

ABSTRACT: Density Functional Theory (DFT) is currently one of the most powerful and widely employed quantum mechanical methods in modern materials science, condensed matter physics, and computational chemistry. Due to its capability to accurately describe the electronic structure of materials at the atomic level with relatively moderate computational cost, DFT has become an essential theoretical framework for investigating a broadA range of advanced materials. In recent decades, DFT has played a central role in the study of nanomaterials, two-dimensional materials, semiconductors, magnetic materials, catalysts, and optoelectronic systems. The method enables researchers to predict and analyze important physical and chemical properties, including electronic band structures, density of states, magnetic behavior, optical responses, adsorption mechanisms, and thermodynamic stability. This review summarizes the fundamental principles of DFT, including the Hohenberg–Kohn theorems and Kohn–Sham formalism, together with commonly used exchange–correlation approximations such as LDA, GGA, hybrid functionals, and DFT+U methods. Furthermore, important applications of DFT in gas sensing, energy storage, photocatalysis, and novel material design are discussed in detail. The advantages and limitations of DFT are also highlighted, particularly regarding band-gap prediction and strongly correlated systems. Finally, future development trends of DFT are presented in the context of artificial intelligence, machine learning, high-performance computing, and large-scale materials discovery.

KEYWORDS: Density Functional Theory, DFT, nanomaterials, electronic structure, gas adsorption, energy materials, two-dimensional materials.

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decades, the rapid development of materials science, nanotechnology, and next- generation electronics has significantly increased the demand for advanced materials with superior properties for applications in electronics, sensing, energy storage, optoelectronics, and quantum technologies. However, experimental investigations at the atomic scale often require substantial cost, long processing times, and sophisticated technical conditions. Consequently, computational simulation methods have become essential tools for predicting material properties prior to experimental realization [1–3].

Among existing quantum mechanical approaches, Density Functional Theory (DFT) is considered the most successful and widely adopted method for investigating the electronic structures of materials. The foundation of DFT is based on the two Hohenberg–Kohn theorems, in which the electron density uniquely determines the ground state of a many-electron system [4]. Subsequently, Kohn and Sham developed an effective single-particle formalism that transforms the many-body problem into an equivalent system of non-interacting electrons while preserving the electron density [5]. This approach significantly reduces computational cost while maintaining high accuracy.

The total energy of a system within DFT can be expressed as (1):

$$E[n(r)] = T[n(r)] + V_{ext}[n(r)] + V_H[n(r)] + E_{xc}[n(r)] \quad (1)$$

Cite the Article: *Tung, N.T. (2026). Applications of Density Functional Theory in Modern Materials Research. Current Science Research Bulletin, 3(5), 102-107. https://doi.org/10.55677/csrb/03-V03I05Y2026*

Publication Date: *May 22, 2026*

Corresponding Author: Nguyen Thanh Tung

where (T) is the electronic kinetic energy, V_{ext} is the external potential, V_H is the classical Coulomb energy, and E_{xc} represents the exchange–correlation energy. The E_{xc} term plays a crucial role in determining the accuracy of DFT calculations [6–8]. Nowadays, DFT is extensively applied in the investigation of metals, semiconductors, nanomaterials, two-dimensional materials, gas sensors, energy storage systems, and electrocatalysts. Computational packages such as VASP, Quantum ESPRESSO, ABINIT, and SIESTA have substantially expanded the applicability of DFT in modern materials research [9–12].

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF DFT

DFT is based on the principle that all ground-state properties of a many-electron system are uniquely determined by the electron density (n(r)). The first Hohenberg–Kohn theorem establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the electron density and the external potential of the system, while the second theorem demonstrates that the total energy reaches its minimum value at the true ground-state electron density [4].

To address practical many-body problems, Kohn and Sham proposed an equivalent non-interacting electron system, leading to the Kohn–Sham equation (2):

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{eff}(r)\right]\psi_i(r) = \varepsilon_i\psi_i(r) \qquad (2)$$

where V_{eff} is the effective potential including the external potential, Coulomb interaction, and exchange–correlation potential; $\psi_i(r)$ denotes the Kohn–Sham orbitals; and ε_i represents the electronic eigenvalues [5].

The accuracy of DFT strongly depends on the choice of exchange–correlation functional. Common approximations include: Local Density Approximation (LDA); Generalized Gradient Approximation in the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof form (GGA-PBE); Hybrid functionals such as HSE06; DFT+U for strongly correlated systems. LDA provides relatively accurate results for systems with slowly varying electron densities, whereas GGA-PBE significantly improves the accuracy by incorporating electron-density gradients [6]. Hybrid functionals such as HSE06 improve the prediction of band gaps and optical properties [8]. For materials containing localized d or f electrons, DFT+U is commonly employed to account for Coulomb interactions inadequately described by conventional DFT [13].

3. APPLICATIONS OF DFT IN MATERIALS RESEARCH

3.1. Electronic Structure Investigations

One of the most important applications of DFT is the investigation of electronic structures through calculations of band structures, density of states (DOS), and projected density of states (PDOS). These calculations enable the determination of band gaps, Fermi-level positions, metallic or semiconducting behavior, and orbital hybridization among constituent atoms [1,14].

In two-dimensional materials such as Graphene, Silicene, Germanene, and Stanene, the electronic structure is highly sensitive to doping, defects, and molecular adsorption [15,16]. Pristine graphene possesses an almost zero band gap, resulting in exceptionally high carrier mobility but limiting its applicability in transistor devices [15]. Therefore, extensive efforts have focused on band-gap engineering through doping or defect introduction to enhance electronic and sensing performance [17]. For silicene, germanene, and stanene, the buckled structure and strong spin–orbit coupling enable flexible tuning of the electronic band structure through external electric fields or atomic adsorption [16]. In particular, stanene has been predicted to be a promising topological insulator with a sizable band gap induced by strong spin–orbit coupling [18].

3.2. Magnetic Property Investigations

DFT is an effective tool for studying magnetic properties due to its capability to describe spin polarization and magnetic moments at the atomic scale. Spin-polarized calculations enable the identification of ferromagnetic (FM), antiferromagnetic (AFM), and nonmagnetic (NM) states in materials [19]. Through spin-resolved DOS and PDOS analyses, DFT allows the investigation of orbital contributions to the total magnetic moment. The hybridization between the 3d orbitals of transition metals and the p orbitals of host materials often plays a crucial role in the emergence of spin polarization [20]. Numerous studies have demonstrated that doping Iron, Cobalt, or Nickel into graphene, silicene, or stanene can induce localized magnetic moments and stable ferromagnetic states [21]. This phenomenon is particularly important for the development of spintronic materials for spin transistors, magnetic memory devices, and quantum components [22].

3.3. Gas Adsorption and Sensing Applications

DFT is extensively employed to investigate the adsorption mechanisms of atoms and gas molecules on nanomaterial surfaces. The adsorption energy is commonly defined as (3):

Corresponding Author: Nguyen Thanh Tung

$$E_{ads} = E_{system} - E_{surface} - E_{gas} \quad (3)$$

The value of E_{ads} reflects the stability of the adsorbed system and helps distinguish between physisorption and chemisorption [23]. Besides adsorption energy, parameters such as bonding distance, charge transfer, charge-density difference, and DOS after adsorption are also utilized to analyze sensing mechanisms [24]. In nanoscale gas sensors, electron transfer between gas molecules and the sensing material alters the carrier concentration near the Fermi level, thereby changing the electrical conductivity of the sensor. Materials such as graphene, MXenes, stanene nanoribbons, and silicene nanoribbons have shown remarkable potential for detecting CO, NO_2, NH_3, SO_2, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) [25–27]. DFT also enables the prediction of sensor recovery performance through the relationship between adsorption energy and desorption kinetics. An ideal sensor should exhibit sufficiently strong interactions for efficient gas detection while maintaining reversible adsorption for sensor reusability [28].

3.4. Optical Property Investigations

DFT is widely applied in the study of optical properties such as dielectric functions, absorption coefficients, reflectivity, refractive indices, and optical conductivity [29]. The complex dielectric function is generally expressed as (4):

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_1(\omega) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega) \quad (4)$$

where $\varepsilon_1(\omega)$ is associated with polarization behavior, whereas $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ represents photon absorption capability [30]. Various optical quantities, including absorption and reflection spectra, can be derived from the dielectric function. DFT is particularly useful for studying semiconductors and two-dimensional materials such as graphene, MoS_2, and Perovskite [15,31]. Optical calculations provide valuable insights into optimal absorption regions for solar cells, light-emitting diodes (LEDs), and photocatalytic materials [32,33]. Moreover, doping or defect engineering can introduce new electronic states within the band gap, thereby modifying the optical absorption and emission characteristics of materials [34]. This is highly significant for the development of optoelectronic devices and optical sensors.

3.5. Energy Material Research

DFT has become an indispensable tool in the study of energy storage and conversion materials, including lithium-ion batteries, sodium-ion batteries, supercapacitors, and electrocatalysts [3,35].

In battery research, DFT is employed to investigate the adsorption and diffusion processes of Li^+ or Na^+ ions within electrode materials. Parameters such as diffusion barriers, adsorption energies, and electrochemical potentials critically determine charging–discharging performance and battery lifetime [36]. The nudged elastic band (NEB) method is commonly used to identify optimal diffusion pathways and ion activation energies [37]. In electrocatalysis, DFT supports the investigation of reactions such as the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), oxygen evolution reaction (OER), and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) through calculations of adsorption energies for reaction intermediates such as H*, O*, OH*, and OOH* [38]. These calculations facilitate the evaluation of catalytic activity and identification of rate-limiting steps.

Numerous nanomaterials, including MXenes, MoS_2, graphene, and perovskites, are currently under intensive investigation for clean-energy applications owing to their high electrical conductivity and large surface area [26,31,39]. DFT is also employed to evaluate thermodynamic stability through formation-energy calculations, phonon spectra, and ab initio molecular dynamics simulations [40].

3.6. Design of Novel Materials

One of the most significant advantages of DFT is its ability to predict novel materials prior to experimental synthesis. Through calculations of formation energies, electronic structures, and physical properties, DFT enables rapid screening of promising material candidates, thereby significantly reducing research cost and time [41].

DFT is currently widely utilized in the design of topological materials, two-dimensional materials, optoelectronic materials, and catalytic systems [18,38]. In modern studies, DFT is frequently integrated with artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning techniques to accelerate the discovery of novel materials [42].

Databases such as the Materials Project and AFLOW Database provide millions of DFT-generated datasets for high-throughput screening and materials informatics applications [43,44]. In addition, graph neural networks are now capable of directly predicting material energies and properties with high efficiency without performing full conventional DFT calculations [45].

4. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF DFT

DFT possesses several remarkable advantages, including high accuracy in describing electronic structures, significantly lower computational cost compared with highly accurate quantum mechanical methods, and the capability to investigate large material

Corresponding Author: Nguyen Thanh Tung

systems [1,2]. This method is applicable to a wide range of materials and effectively supports the design of novel materials prior to experimental synthesis [41]. Nevertheless, DFT still suffers from several limitations. Standard approximations such as LDA and GGA generally underestimate the band gaps of semiconductors and insulators [6]. DFT also encounters difficulties in describing strongly correlated systems such as transition-metal oxides or materials containing localized d and f electrons [13]. Furthermore, computational cost increases rapidly with system size, and the results strongly depend on the choice of exchange–correlation functional. To overcome these limitations, several advanced approaches have been developed, including hybrid DFT, the GW approximation, time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT), and DFT+U [8,13,46,47]. These methods significantly improve the prediction of band gaps, excited electronic states, and strongly correlated systems.

5. FUTURE DEVELOPMENT TRENDS OF DFT

In the future, DFT is expected to maintain its central role in modern materials science. One of the most significant trends is the integration of DFT with AI and machine learning to accelerate the discovery of novel materials [42]. Deep learning models and graph neural networks are being developed to directly predict material properties from crystal-structure data [45].

In addition, the advancement of high-performance computing (HPC), GPU computing, and exascale computing is enabling DFT to handle increasingly large and complex material systems [48]. Multiscale simulations combining DFT with molecular dynamics or continuum models are also becoming an important trend in realistic materials research. DFT continues to play a crucial role in the investigation of two-dimensional materials, heterostructures, and quantum materials such as topological insulators and Weyl semimetals [18,49]. Moreover, DFT is expected to be increasingly applied in the development of intelligent sensors, nanoelectronic devices, and clean- energy technologies.

6. CONCLUSION

Density Functional Theory (DFT) has become a fundamental tool in modern materials science due to its capability to accurately describe electronic structures and various physical properties at the atomic scale. DFT has been extensively applied in the investigation of electronic structures, magnetic properties, gas adsorption, optical properties, energy materials, and novel material design. Although several limitations remain, particularly regarding band-gap prediction and strongly correlated systems, the development of hybrid functionals, GW methods, TD-DFT, AI, and HPC technologies is significantly improving the accuracy and applicability of DFT. Given its increasingly important role in advanced material design, DFT is expected to remain a dominant theoretical framework in the future development of nanomaterials, energy materials, and quantum technologies.

REFERENCES

1. Martin, R. M. (2004). *Electronic structure: Basic theory and practical methods*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511805769
2. Kohn, W. (1999). Nobel lecture: Electronic structure of matter—Wave functions and density functionals. *Reviews of Modern Physics, 71*, 1253–1266. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.71.1253
3. Hafner, J. (2008). Ab-initio simulations of materials using VASP: Density-functional theory and beyond. *Journal of Computational Chemistry, 29*, 2044–2078. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21057
4. Hohenberg, P., & Kohn, W. (1964). Inhomogeneous electron gas. *Physical Review, 136*, B864–B871. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.136.B864
5. Kohn, W., & Sham, L. J. (1965). Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects. *Physical Review, 140*, A1133–A1138. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.140.A1133
6. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K., & Ernzerhof, M. (1996). Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Physical Review Letters, 77*, 3865–3868. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865
7. Becke, A. D. (1993). Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *The Journal of Chemical Physics, 98*, 5648–5652. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.464913
8. Heyd, J., Scuseria, G. E., & Ernzerhof, M. (2003). Hybrid functionals based on a screened Coulomb potential. *The Journal of Chemical Physics, 118*, 8207–8215. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1564060
9. Kresse, G., & Furthmüller, J. (1996). Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Physical Review B, 54*, 11169–11186. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169
10. Giannozzi, P., et al. (2009). QUANTUM ESPRESSO: A modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 21*, 395502. https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/39/395502

Corresponding Author: Nguyen Thanh Tung

11. Gonze, X., et al. (2009). ABINIT: First-principles approach to material and nanosystem properties. *Computer Physics Communications, 180*, 2582–2615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2009.07.007
12. Soler, J. M., et al. (2002). The SIESTA method for ab initio order-N materials simulation. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 14*, 2745–2779. https://doi.org/10.1088/0953- 8984/14/11/302
13. Anisimov, V. I., Zaanen, J., & Andersen, O. K. (1991). Band theory and Mott insulators: Hubbard U instead of Stoner I. *Physical Review B, 44*, 943–954. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.44.943
14. Sholl, D. S., & Steckel, J. A. (2009). *Density functional theory: A practical introduction*. Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470447710
15. Geim, A. K., & Novoselov, K. S. (2007). The rise of graphene. *Nature Materials, 6*, 183–191. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1849
16. Ezawa, M. (2015). Monolayer topological insulators: Silicene, germanene, and stanene. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan, 84*, 121003. https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.84.121003
17. Wehling, T. O., Katsnelson, M. I., & Lichtenstein, A. I. (2009). Adsorbates on graphene: Impurity states and electron scattering. *Chemical Physics Letters, 476*, 125–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2009.06.047
18. Xu, Y., Yan, B., Zhang, H.-J., et al. (2013). Large-gap quantum spin Hall insulators in tin films. *Physical Review Letters, 111*, 136804. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.136804
19. Yazyev, O. V. (2010). Emergence of magnetism in graphene materials and nanostructures. *Reports on Progress in Physics, 73*, 056501. https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/73/5/056501
20. Kübler, J. (2000). *Theory of itinerant electron magnetism*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198507994.001.0001
21. Ma, Y., Dai, Y., Guo, M., et al. (2011). Tunable magnetic and electronic properties of transition-metal doped graphene by strain engineering. *Nanoscale, 3*, 3883–3887. https://doi.org/10.1039/C1NR10619C
22. Wolf, S. A., et al. (2001). Spintronics: A spin-based electronics vision for the future. *Science, 294*, 1488–1495. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065389
23. Grimme, S. (2006). Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long- range dispersion correction. *Journal of Computational Chemistry, 27*, 1787–1799. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20495
24. Tang, W., Sanville, E., & Henkelman, G. (2009). A grid-based Bader analysis algorithm without lattice bias. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 21*, 084204. https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/8/084204
25. Schedin, F., et al. (2007). Detection of individual gas molecules adsorbed on graphene. *Nature Materials, 6*, 652–655. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1967
26. Anasori, B., Lukatskaya, M. R., & Gogotsi, Y. (2017). 2D metal carbides and nitrides (MXenes) for energy storage. *Nature Reviews Materials, 2*, 16098. https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2016.98
27. Nguyen, V. H., & Nguyen, H. D. (2021). Electronic and gas sensing properties of doped stanene nanoribbons: A first-principles study. *Applied Surface Science, 537*, 147930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.147930
28. Monkhorst, H. J., & Pack, J. D. (1976). Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. *Physical Review B, 13*, 5188–5192. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188
29. Fox, M. (2010). *Optical properties of solids*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199573370.001.0001
30. Penn, D. R. (1962). Wave-number-dependent dielectric function of semiconductors. *Physical Review, 128*, 2093–2097. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.128.2093
31. Mak, K. F., et al. (2010). Atomically thin MoS2: A new direct-gap semiconductor. *Physical Review Letters, 105*, 136805. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.136805
32. Grätzel, M. (2001). Photoelectrochemical cells. *Nature, 414*, 338–344. https://doi.org/10.1038/35104607
33. Fujishima, A., & Honda, K. (1972). Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode. *Nature, 238*, 37–38. https://doi.org/10.1038/238037a0
34. Robertson, J. (2002). Defects and hydrogen in amorphous silicon. *Materials Science and Engineering: R: Reports, 37*, 129–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-796X(02)00005-0
35. Whittingham, M. S. (2004). Lithium batteries and cathode materials. *Chemical Reviews, 104*, 4271–4302. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr020731c

Corresponding Author: Nguyen Thanh Tung

36. Persson, K. (2016). Materials design and discovery with high-throughput density functional theory computations. *MRS Bulletin, 41*, 541–546. https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs.2016.136
37. Henkelman, G., Uberuaga, B. P., & Jónsson, H. (2000). A climbing image nudged elastic band method for finding saddle points and minimum energy paths. *The Journal of Chemical Physics, 113*, 9901–9904. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1329672
38. Nørskov, J. K., et al. (2009). Towards the computational design of solid catalysts. *Nature Chemistry, 1*, 37–46. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.121
39. Green, M. A., Ho-Baillie, A., & Snaith, H. J. (2014). The emergence of perovskite solar cells. *Nature Photonics, 8*, 506–514. https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.134
40. Togo, A., & Tanaka, I. (2015). First principles phonon calculations in materials science. *Scripta Materialia, 108*, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2015.07.021
41. Jain, A., et al. (2013). Commentary: The Materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Materials, 1*, 011002. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4812323
42. Butler, K. T., et al. (2018). Machine learning for molecular and materials science. *Nature, 559*, 547–555. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0337-2
43. Curtarolo, S., et al. (2012). AFLOW: An automatic framework for high-throughput materials discovery. *Computational Materials Science, 58*, 218–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2012.02.005
44. Hautier, G., et al. (2010). Finding nature's missing ternary oxide compounds using machine learning and density functional theory. *Chemistry of Materials, 22*, 3762–3767. https://doi.org/10.1021/cm100795d
45. Xie, T., & Grossman, J. C. (2018). Crystal graph convolutional neural networks for an accurate and interpretable prediction of material properties. *Physical Review Letters, 120*, 145301. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.145301
46. Hybertsen, M. S., & Louie, S. G. (1986). Electron correlation in semiconductors and insulators: Band gaps and quasiparticle energies. *Physical Review B, 34*, 5390–5413. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.34.5390
47. Runge, E., & Gross, E. K. U. (1984). Density-functional theory for time-dependent systems. *Physical Review Letters, 52*, 997–1000. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.997
48. Dongarra, J., et al. (2011). The International Exascale Software Project roadmap. *International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications, 25*, 3–60. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094342010391989
49. Hasan, M. Z., & Kane, C. L. (2010). Colloquium: Topological insulators. *Reviews of Modern Physics, 82*, 3045–3067. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045

Corresponding Author: Nguyen Thanh Tung